

DAC Review of the Draft District Unified Improvement Plan

Tuesday, April 20, 20201 DUIP Co-Chairs: Jill Fellman & Lorri Avery







Outcomes

- Reminder of 2021-22 DUIP timeline
- Revisit priority challenges/root causes
- Provide feedback on major improvement strategies and action step ideas





Unified Improvement Plan (UIP) Process

P<mark>repare</mark> to Plan Gather and Organize Data

Section IV: Target Setting Section IV:
Action Planning

Review Performance Summary Describe Notable Trends

Section III: Data Narrative

Prioritize Performance Challenges

Set Performance Targets

Ongoing: Progress Monitoring Identify
Interim
Measures

Identify Root Causes

Identify Major Improvement Strategies

Identify Implementation Benchmarks





DUIP Timeline

- DAC review draft DUIP in April
- Preliminary DAC Approval in May
- Updated data to DAC in August
- Board approve in September
- CDE submission in October
 - New DAC Timeline





Root Causes/Priority Performance Challenges

Root Cause Name	Root Cause Description	Associated Priority Performance Challenge Name
Inconsistent district/ school/classroom leadership, data-based	Depending on the school and/or classroom, all students may not receive or benefit from effective continuous improvement processes to meet their varied academic needs. District supports have not been integrated across all departments to develop and support systemic	Reading academic growth below expectations
decision making, family partnerships, tiered supports and evidence-based	leadership, data-based decision making, family partnerships, tiered supports and evidence-based practices to meet all students' needs.	Math academic achievement below expectations
practices to meet all students' needs		Decline in districtwide family engagement

DUIP Draft p. 12

DAC notes from March meeting: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1YyE_r1HbZwG69fCldf8fCv S1snr5I9qy4S2gG2eijsw/edit?usp=sharing





Major Improvement Strategies

M		•	ovement Name
	 -	-	

The district will ensure 100% of schools provide all students with evidenced-based academic, social-emotional and behavioral supports to meet individual needs so that all students make sufficient academic growth in the 2021-22 school year to be on track to meet or exceed grade level expectations in reading and/or mathematics

Associated Root Cause Name

Inconsistent district/ school/classroom leadership, data-based decision making, family partnerships, tiered supports and evidencebased practices to meet all students' needs

Description of what success will look like:

Through systemic implementation of a Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) to identify, implement, and monitor student success, 100% of schools will achieve increased academic growth for all students, especially students not yet meeting grade level expectations.

Description of research supporting this strategy, and why it is a good fit for the school:

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is a researchbased approach to continuous improvement that includes the following effective components:

- o Team-driven shared leadership
- Data-based problem solving and decision making
- o Family, school, and community partnering
- o Layered continuum of supports
- Evidence-based practices





Action Step Ideas

Major Improvement Strategy: District-wide implementation of a Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) Approach

Implementation Benchmarks

DUIP Draft p. 14

Action Step	Description	Start Date (optional)	End Date	Frequency (optional)	Key Personnel
Data-based problem	Enhance district supports and				
solving and decision	staff efficacy to use multiple				
making	data sources to inform decisions				
	and monitor student success				
Family, school, and	Prioritize family partnerships to				
community partnering	support student learning and				
	growth as well inform				
	school/district planning and				
	monitoring success of				
	improvement processes				
Layered continuum of	Ensure that the district and				
supports	schools provide strong core				
	instruction in every classroom				
	and support extension for those				
	students who need additional				
	learning opportunities				
Evidence-based	Provide the professional				
practices	learning and resources for				
	evidenced-based practices in				
	core instruction and				
	interventions (with a literacy				
	focus)				





Jamboard

Take 20 minutes to brainstorm on Jam Board

https://jamboard.google.com/d/1wh0ErTAoQV0D6Hnctlz

mlgJs_VCXRXHeapgLf8cxpBs/edit?usp=sharing





Discussion







Next Steps

- Jeffco staff and DAC DUIP Subcommittee will finalize spring draft of DUIP
- DUIP draft will be provided before May DAC meeting for review
- May DAC meeting vote to approve preliminary draft
 DUIP





Thank you!





DAC Review of the Draft District Unified Improvement Plan

Tuesday, April 20, 20201 DUIP Co-Chairs: Jill Fellman & Lorri Avery







Outcomes

- Reminder of 2021-22 DUIP timeline
- Revisit priority challenges/root causes
- Provide feedback on major improvement strategies and action step ideas





Unified Improvement Plan (UIP) Process

Prepare to Plan Gather and Organize Data

Section IV: Target Setting Section IV:
Action Planning

Review Performance Summary Describe Notable Trends

Section III: Data Narrative

Prioritize Performance Challenges Identify Root Causes

Set Performance Targets Identify Major Improvement Strategies

Ongoing: Progress Monitoring Identify
Interim
Measures

Identify
Implementation
Benchmarks





DUIP Timeline

- DAC review draft DUIP in April
- Preliminary DAC Approval in May
- Updated data to DAC in August
- Board approve in September
- CDE submission in October
 - New DAC Timeline





Root Causes/Priority Performance Challenges

Root Cause Name	Root Cause Description	Associated Priority Performance Challenge Name
Inconsistent district/ school/classroom leadership, data-based	Depending on the school and/or classroom, all students may not receive or benefit from effective continuous improvement processes to meet their varied academic needs. District supports have not been integrated across all departments to develop and support systemic	Reading academic growth below expectations
decision making, family partnerships, tiered supports and evidence-based	leadership, data-based decision making, family partnerships, tiered supports and evidence-based practices to meet all students' needs.	Math academic achievement below expectations
practices to meet all students' needs		Decline in districtwide family engagement

DUIP Draft p. 12

DAC notes from March meeting: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1YyE_r1HbZwG69fCldf8fCv S1snr5I9qy4S2gG2eijsw/edit?usp=sharing





Major Improvement Strategies

M		•	ovement Name
	 -	-	

The district will ensure 100% of schools provide all students with evidenced-based academic, social-emotional and behavioral supports to meet individual needs so that all students make sufficient academic growth in the 2021-22 school year to be on track to meet or exceed grade level expectations in reading and/or mathematics

Associated Root Cause Name

Inconsistent district/ school/classroom leadership, data-based decision making, family partnerships, tiered supports and evidencebased practices to meet all students' needs

Description of what success will look like:

Through systemic implementation of a Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) to identify, implement, and monitor student success, 100% of schools will achieve increased academic growth for all students, especially students not yet meeting grade level expectations.

Description of research supporting this strategy, and why it is a good fit for the school:

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is a researchbased approach to continuous improvement that includes the following effective components:

- o Team-driven shared leadership
- Data-based problem solving and decision making
- o Family, school, and community partnering
- o Layered continuum of supports
- Evidence-based practices





Action Step Ideas

Major Improvement Strategy: District-wide implementation of a Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) Approach

Implementation Benchmarks

DUIP Draft p. 14

Action Step	Description	Start Date (optional)	End Date	Frequency (optional)	Key Personnel
Data-based problem	Enhance district supports and				
solving and decision	staff efficacy to use multiple				
making	data sources to inform decisions				
	and monitor student success				
Family, school, and	Prioritize family partnerships to				
community partnering	support student learning and				
	growth as well inform				
	school/district planning and				
	monitoring success of				
	improvement processes				
Layered continuum of	Ensure that the district and				
supports	schools provide strong core				
	instruction in every classroom				
	and support extension for those				
	students who need additional				
	learning opportunities				
Evidence-based	Provide the professional				
practices	learning and resources for				
	evidenced-based practices in				
	core instruction and				
	interventions (with a literacy				
	focus)				





Jamboard

Take 20 minutes to brainstorm on Jam Board

https://jamboard.google.com/d/1wh0ErTAoQV0D6Hnctlz

mlgJs_VCXRXHeapgLf8cxpBs/edit?usp=sharing





Discussion







Next Steps

- Jeffco staff and DAC DUIP Subcommittee will finalize spring draft of DUIP
- DUIP draft will be provided before May DAC meeting for review
- May DAC meeting vote to approve preliminary draft
 DUIP





Thank you!



PROCESS FOR SELECTION OF DAC MEMBERS

- The district website needs to have a better explanation of the different committees (e.g., DAC, Capital Asset Advisory Committee, Technology Data and Privacy, etc.) and clarification that people must apply for each committee separately, as well as an expected time commitment.
- For parents, a better recruitment process is needed and more clarity about being an Articulation Area Representative (AAR) what the responsibilities are. If more than two parents from an Articulation Area apply, it shouldn't be a default of first-come, first-served, but would require looking at the additional information the applicant submitted. Ensure that applicants understand the responsibility and time commitment required to be an active DAC member (i.e., the bylaws attendance policy). Maintain a list of applicants who were not selected, in the event of a vacancy.
- For representatives of organizations, the organizations should be able to propose their own representatives on their own timeline (independent of the board's timeline for application).
- In the event of any vacancies, the ____ committee of the DAC should make a recommendation to
 the board, which should create a process for approval at monthly meetings. If there are no
 backup applicants for the position, the ___ committee of the DAC should be empowered to do
 outreach to the SACs.

ARTICULATION AREA REPRESENTATIVES (AARs)

- Ensure that an AAR Chair is selected and serves on the FSCP subcommittee.
- Make it optional for the AAR to host an articulation area meeting each year.
- Make it optional that the AAR attend all of their school SAC Meetings at least once per year. We
 will still note/recommend that this is the best way to build relationships.
- No longer require a meeting at the end of DAC meetings for AARs to meet.
- Keep two AARs per articulation area, but allow them to decide whether to join a DAC subcommittee and to work together on how they wish to manage communication with the articulation area.
- Provide a job description handout for the AAR role explaining their responsibilities.
- Formalize AAR communication:
 - The AAR Chair will provide a quarterly survey drafted by the FSCP for the AARs to send to their areas. FSCP compiles the quarterly data to share with the full DAC and via AARs back to the articulation area SACs.
 - The AAR Chair will provide a monthly communication email template after each DAC meeting for AARs to communicate back to their areas (AAR Chair drafts).